



Original Article

Nutritional Evaluation of Conventional Feedstuffs for Ruminants using In Vitro Gas Production Technique

Min Aung^{1,*}, Yin Yin Kyaw², Myo Khaing³, Khin San Mu¹, Moe Thidar Htun¹, Lwin Naing Oo¹ and Aung Aung¹

¹ Department of Physiology and Biochemistry, University of Veterinary Science, Myanmar

² The United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kagoshima University, Japan

³ Mandalay City Development Committee, Mandalay, Myanmar

ARTICLE INFO

Corresponding Author:

Min Aung
minaung.uvs@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article:

Aung, M., Kyaw, Y.Y., Khaing, M., San Mu, K., Thidar Htun, M., Naing Oo, L., and Aung, A. (2015). Nutritional Evaluation of Conventional Feedstuffs for Ruminants using In Vitro Gas Production Technique. *Global Journal of Animal Scientific Research*, 3(2), 518-523.

Article History:

Received: 8 March 2015
Revised: 12 April 2015
Accepted: 14 April 2015

ABSTRACT

The use of crop residues and agricultural by-products in animal feeding is a very common practice in Myanmar. Nutritive values and fermentation characteristics of natural grass (NG), lablab bean stalk (LLB), butter bean residue (BBR), sesame residue (SR), dried sorghum stover (DSS) and fresh sorghum stover (FSS) were evaluated through *in vitro* gas production method. The crude protein (CP) content of Lablab bean stalk (LLB) was significantly higher than those of other feedstuffs with the least CP obtained from dried sorghum stover (DSS). The contents of NDF, ADF and EE ranged from 58.95% to 80.03%, 36.63% to 67.76% and 2.15% to 2.89%, respectively. The cumulative gas volumes at 24 hrs ranked from the highest to the lowest; BBRD, NG, SRD, LLBF, SSD and SSF. However, the gas volume of SSD was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than those of other feedstuffs at the 96 hr. of incubation time. The highest gas production rate "c" was found in SRD and the lowest was in SSD. While the fermentation of insoluble fraction "b" of SSD was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than those of other feedstuffs, the LLBF was the highest in the fermentation of quickly soluble fraction "a". The estimated total carbohydrate (TC), non fibre carbohydrate (NFC), metabolizable energy (ME), organic matter digestibility (OMD), short chain fatty acid (SCFA) and methane gas concentration are significantly different ($P<0.05$) among the experimental feedstuffs. According to the findings of this study, all of these conventional feedstuffs have the good potential to be used as ruminant feed.

Keywords: Conventional feedstuff, Nutritive values, *in vitro* gas production.

Copyright © 2015, World Science and Research Publishing. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

The use of crop residues and agricultural by-products in animal feeding is a very common practice in Myanmar. Evaluating the nutritive

value of these available feed resources are important as these could make an important contribution to the nutrition of livestock. Tesfay

(2014) pointed out that the dairy farmers at the peri-urban area rely on the dry and green roughages for the feed of their animals. Fermentation characteristics of feedstuffs in buffered rumen fluid can be studied using *in vitro* techniques using syringes (Menke *et al.*, 1979; Blümmel *et al.*, 1997). These techniques stimulate the rumen fermentation process and they have been used to evaluate the potential of feed to supply nutrients to ruminants.

The *in vitro* gas production technique does not require sophisticated equipment and the large numbers of samples can be incubated and analyzed at the same time. This method has been successfully applied in the aspects of feed evaluation, including organic matter digestibility (OMD), metabolizable energy (ME) (Menke *et al.*, 1979; Menke and Steingass, 1988), short chain fatty acid (SCFA) (Makkar, 2005), kinetics of fermentation (Ørskov and McDonald, 1979) and the efficiency of microbial protein synthesis (Makker and Becker, 1996).

Moreover, the *in vitro* gas production method can be used to examine animal waste components that impact the environment and develop appropriate mitigations. Therefore, this experiment was intended to evaluate the nutritive values of conventional feedstuff using *in vitro* gas technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental feedstuffs

All experimental feedstuffs, natural grass (NG), lablab bean stalk (LLB), butter bean residue (BBR), sesame residue (SR), dried sorghum Stover (DSS) and fresh sorghum Stover (FSS) were collected from the central dry zone (CDZ) of Myanmar.

Chemical Analysis

All ground samples of conventional feedstuffs were collected for the chemical analysis. All ground samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM) by the method described by AOAC (1990) and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) by Goering and Van Soest (1970). Nitrogen contents were analyzed by using Kjeldahl method (Foss 2020 digester and Foss 2100 Kjeltac distillation unit) and crude protein (CP) is calculated as $6.25 \times N$ (AOAC, 1990).

In Vitro Gas Production

Prior to the collection of rumen fluid, the animal was fed with 14 kg of 4% urea treated rice straw and 1.2 kg of sesame meal twice a day for 14 days for adaptation. Rumen fluid was collected from fistulated bull (300 Kg Body Weight) before morning feed. The procedure for *in vitro* gas production was as reported by Menke and Steingass (1988). Rumen fluid was mixed with buffer medium at ratio of 1:2 (v/v) under a continuous stream of carbon dioxide (CO₂). Substrate weighing 200 mg was introduced into the 120 ml calibrated syringe and followed by adding of 30 ml inoculums. Blanks sample were also determined for gas production. Incubation was carried out at 39°C and gas production was read at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hrs.

In order to estimate methane production by the substrate and immediately after evacuation from the incubator, 4 ml of NaOH (10 M) was introduced using 5 ml capacity syringe as reported by Fievez *et al.* (2005). The content was inserted into the silicon tube, which are fastened to the 120 ml capacity syringe. The clip was then opened while the NaOH was gradually released. The content was agitated while the plunger began to shift position to occupy the vacuum created by the absorption of CO₂. The volume of methane was read on the calibration. The extent and rate of fermentation on gas production is determined by exponential model of Ørskov and McDonald (1979);

$$Y = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$$

Where:

a = The gas production (ml) from quickly soluble fraction

b = The gas production (ml) from insoluble fraction

c = The gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction

a+b = Potential gas production (ml)

t = Incubation time (h)

Y = Gas production at time t.

The total carbohydrate (CT), non fibre carbohydrate (NFC), short chain fatty acid (SCFA), organic matter digestibility (OMD) and metabolizable energy (ME) values in experimental feedstuff were calculated using equation as below:

$$TC = 100 - (CP + EE + Ash) \text{ (NRC, 2001)}$$

$$NFC = 100 - (NDF + CP + EE + Ash) \text{ (NRC, 2001)}$$

SCFA (mmol) = 0.0222Gp – 0.00425 (Makkar, 2005)

OMD (%) = 14.88 + 0.889Gp+ 0.458CP+0.0651XA (Menke and Steingass, 1988)

ME (MJ/Kg DM) = 2.20 + 0.136Gp + 0.057CP (Menke and Steingass, 1988)

Where;

CP = % crude protein in DM

Gp = gas production from 200mg sample at 24 hrs

XA = % ash in DM

Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the significance of differences between treatments means were compared by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using SPSS (version 16.0) software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The chemical composition (%) of conventional feedstuffs is presented in Table 1. The result shows that there are wide variations in crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) content. The CP content of Lablab bean stalk (LLB) was significantly higher than those of other feedstuffs with the least CP obtained from dried sorghum stover (DSS). The contents of NDF, ADF and EE ranged from 58.95 to 80.03, 36.63 to 67.76 and 2.15 to 2.89, respectively. The variation observed in the chemical composition (%) of experimental feedstuffs could be due to many factors such as stage of growth, maturity, species or variety (Von Keyserlingk *et al.*, 1996; Agbagla-Dohanni *et al.*, 2001; Promkot and Wanapat, 2004), drying method, growth environment (Mupangwa *et al.*, 1997) and soil types (Thu and Preston, 1997).

Table 1: Chemical composition (%) of conventional feedstuffs

Description	DM	OM	CP	NDF	ADF	EE
NG	41.32 ^d	89.39 ^d	8.18 ^c	68.62 ^c	36.63 ^e	2.39 ^b
LLBF	20.62 ^e	88.76 ^d	19.48 ^a	58.95 ^e	41.73 ^d	2.89 ^a
BBRD	83.60 ^a	96.73 ^a	5.86 ^d	77.50 ^a	67.76 ^a	2.48 ^b
SSRD	74.32 ^c	92.24 ^c	8.50 ^c	61.97 ^d	56.77 ^b	2.15 ^c
SSD	77.76 ^b	93.95 ^b	3.29 ^e	80.03 ^a	54.52 ^b	1.67 ^d
SSF	21.63 ^e	89.21 ^d	9.59 ^b	74.81 ^b	48.82 ^c	2.17 ^c
SEM	6.39	0.72	1.23	1.91	2.54	0.09
P Value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

^{a, b, c, d, e}Means within the same column with various superscripts are significantly different. SEM: standard error mean

Wide variations were also observed in the cumulative gas production at different incubation times (Table 2). The result indicates that the cumulative gas volumes at all incubation times were significantly different (P<0.05). The gas volumes at 24 hrs ranked from the highest to

the lowest; BBRD, NG, SRD, LLBF, SSD and SSF respectively. However, the gas volume of SSD was significantly higher (P<0.05) than those of other feedstuffs at the 96 hrs of incubation time

Table 2: Cumulative gas production of conventional feedstuff at the different incubation times

Description	Incubation times (hrs)							
	1	3	6	12	24	48	72	96
NG	9.67 ^{ab}	12.82 ^a	17.07 ^a	24.09 ^{ab}	33.73 ^{ab}	42.96 ^a	46.28 ^a	47.47 ^{ab}
LLBF	10.97 ^a	13.68 ^a	17.22 ^a	22.76 ^{ab}	29.56 ^{bc}	34.85 ^b	36.28 ^c	36.66 ^e
BBRD	9.24 ^{ab}	13.19 ^a	18.30 ^a	26.16 ^a	35.52 ^a	42.37 ^a	44.02 ^{ab}	44.42 ^{bcd}
SRD	7.99 ^b	11.63 ^a	16.29 ^a	23.32 ^{ab}	31.35 ^{abc}	36.81 ^{ab}	38.01 ^{bc}	38.27 ^{de}
SSD	3.72 ^c	6.44 ^b	10.25 ^b	17.07 ^c	27.96 ^c	41.91 ^a	49.51 ^a	53.65 ^a
SSF	2.70 ^c	5.15 ^b	9.30 ^b	16.39 ^c	26.83 ^c	38.26 ^{ab}	43.24 ^{ab}	45.41 ^{bc}
SEM	0.69	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.81	0.91	1.17	1.39
P Value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.019	0.055	0.005	0.001

^{a, b, c, d, e}Means within the same column with various superscripts are significantly different. SEM: standard error mean

The gas production parameters (a, b, c) were shown in Table 3. Accordance with the table, the highest gas production rate “c” was found in SRD and the lowest was in SSD. While the fermentation of insoluble fraction “b” of SSD was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than those of other feedstuffs, the LLBF was the highest in the fermentation of quickly soluble fraction.

The gas production from soluble fraction “a” of NG and LLBF were high when compared to other feedstuffs, probably a reflection of high level of soluble carbohydrate, conversely low level of insoluble carbohydrate (NDF and ADF).

The higher gas production from insoluble fraction “b” was observed in SSD, possibly influenced by the low level of soluble carbohydrate fraction readily available to the microbial population and high level of insoluble carbohydrate. Deaville and Given (2001) reported that kinetics of gas production could be affected by carbohydrate fraction. Additionally, the highest protein content was found in LLBF and the lowest was in SSD. The protein fermentation does not lead to excessive gas production (Khazaal *et al.*, 1995).

Table 3: Kinetic fermentation of conventional feedstuff through the *in vitro* gas method

Description	a	b	c	a+b
NG	7.99 ^{ab}	40.16 ^c	0.0429 ^d	48.15 ^b
LLBF	9.49 ^a	27.31 ^e	0.0560 ^b	36.80 ^d
BBRD	7.09 ^b	37.45 ^{cd}	0.0593 ^{ab}	44.54 ^{bc}
SRD	5.98 ^b	32.34 ^{de}	0.0643 ^a	38.31 ^{cd}
SSD	2.32 ^c	56.29 ^a	0.0253 ^f	58.61 ^a
SSF	1.75 ^c	46.56 ^b	0.0346 ^e	48.31 ^b
SEM	0.64	2.09	0.003	1.69
P Value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001

a, b, c, d, e, f Means within the same column with various superscripts are significantly different, a: gas production (ml) from quickly soluble fraction, b: gas production (ml) from insoluble fraction, c: gas production rate, a + b: potential gas production, SEM: Standard error mean

The fast gas production rate “c” observed in SRD and BBRD was probably influenced by the soluble carbohydrate fraction (NFC content) readily available to the microbial population. The low content of fibre (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) can facilitate the utilization of feed by ruminal microbes, which in turn might induce higher fermentation rates, therefore improving digestibility (Van Soest, 1994).

The gas production of feed in buffered rumen fluid is associated with feed fermentation and carbohydrate fraction (Sallam *et al.*, 2008). Low gas production of SSD in initial incubation times (24 hrs) compared to the other feedstuffs was resulted due to high content of slowly fermented carbohydrates (NDF) in SSD. This is in agreement with the finding of De Boever *et al.* (2005), who reported that gas production was negatively related with NDF content and positively with starch. However, at the late incubation time (96 hrs), the highest gas volume was found in SSD. It might be due to high level of NDF in SSD and it needs more time to attachment of microorganism.

The amount of total carbohydrate (TC), non fibre carbohydrate (NFC), metabolizable energy

(ME), organic matter digestibility (OMD), short chain fatty acid (SCFA) and methane gas concentration of experimental feedstuffs are presented in Table 4. The estimated TC, NFC, ME, OMD, SCFA and methane gas concentration are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) among the experimental feedstuffs.

The lowest reduction in methane gas concentration of LLBF could be due to low level of NDF and ADF which convert CO_2 and H_2 to acetate instead of CH_4 (Miller, 1995). This is consistent with the finding of Sallam *et al.* (2008). The estimated ME in this study were consistent with those obtained for the tropical feed sources and by-products (Akinfemi *et al.*, 2012) and lower than those obtained for the chickpea wastes (Maheri-Sis *et al.*, 2007). There was a positive correlation between ME calculated from *in vitro* gas production together with CP and fat content with metabolizable energy value of conventional feed measured *in vivo* (Menke and Steingass, 1988). The *in vitro* gas production method has been widely used to evaluate the energy value of several classes of feed (Getachew *et al.*, 1999, 2002).

The least SCFA predicted for LLBF and SSD is due to a lower gas production which was

evident in the first 24 hrs of incubation. Blummel *et al.* (1990) suggested that gas production from cereal straws and different class of feeds incubated *in vitro* in buffered rumen fluid was closely related to the production of SCFA which was based on carbohydrate fermentation. A high value of SCFA is an indication of energy availability to the animal. The digestibilities of organic matter (OMD)

obtained in SRD, BBRD and SSF are high compare with other feedstuffs because the major carbohydrate of their feedstuffs is starch, which is fermented by amylolytic bacteria and protozoa (Kotarski *et al.*, 1992), but did not support observation on the SSF sample in this study. This result implies that the microbes in the rumen and animal have high nutrient uptake.

Table 4: Estimated parameters of TC, NFC, ME, OMD, SCFA and Methane gas concentration (%) of conventional feedstuffs

Description	TC (%)	NFC (%)	ME (MJ/ Kg DM)	OMD (%)	SCFA (mmol/ 200 mg DM)	Methane gas Concentration (%)
NG	78.55 ^c	10.03 ^{bc}	6.45 ^{cd}	44.00 ^c	0.61 ^{bc}	8.94 ^c
LLBF	66.37 ^d	7.71 ^c	6.68 ^{bc}	46.40 ^b	0.55 ^d	8.58 ^c
BBRD	88.41 ^a	11.22 ^b	7.20 ^a	48.22 ^{ab}	0.76 ^a	43.55 ^a
SRD	81.58 ^b	19.94 ^a	7.24 ^a	49.00 ^a	0.74 ^a	9.72 ^c
SSD	88.99 ^a	9.20 ^{bc}	6.08 ^c	40.89 ^d	0.60 ^{cd}	12.83 ^c
SSF	77.45 ^c	3.81 ^d	6.91 ^{ab}	47.89 ^{ab}	0.67 ^b	11.78 ^c
SEM	1.85	1.24	0.09	0.64	0.02	2.71
P Value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

^{a, b, c, d, e}: Means within the same column with various superscripts are significantly different, TC: total carbohydrate, NFC: non-fibre carbohydrate, ME: metabolizable energy, OMD: organic matter digestibility, SCFA: short chain fatty acid, SEM: standard error mean

CONCLUSION

In this study, wide variations were occurred in the chemical composition (%), rate and extent of gas production and some estimated parameters of conventional feedstuffs. However, all of these conventional feedstuffs have the good potential to be used as ruminant feed.

REFERENCES

- Agbagla-Dohnani, A., Noziere, P., Clement, G., & Doreau, M. (2001). *In sacco* degradability, chemical & morphological composition of 15 varieties of European rice straw. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 94: 15-27.
- Akinfemi, A., Adua, M.M., & Adu, O.A. (2012). Evaluation of nutritive values of tropical feed sources & by-products using *in vitro* gas production technique in ruminant animals. *Emirate Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 24 (4): 348-353.
- AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C., pp:69-88.
- Blümmel, M., Makkar, H.P.S., & Becker, K. (1997). *In vitro* gas production: a technique revisited. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 77: 24-34.
- Blummel, M., Ørskov, E.R., Becker, K., & Soller, H. (1990). Anwendung des Hohenheimer Gastests zur Schätzung Kinetischer Parameter der Pansenfermentation. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 64:56-57.
- De Boever, J.L., Aerts, J.M., & De Brabader, D.L. (2005). Evaluation of the nutritive value of maize silages using a gas production technique. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, pp: 123-128.
- Deville, E.R., & Givens, D.I. (2001). Use of the automated gas production technique to determine the fermentation kinetics of carbohydrate fractions in maize silage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 93: 205-215.
- Fievez, V., Babayemi, O.J., & Demeyer, D. (2005). Estimation of direct & indirect gas production in syringes: a tool to estimate short chain fatty acid production requiring minimal laboratory facilities. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 123-124: 197-210.
- Getachew, G., Groveto, G.M., Fondivilla, M., Krishnamoorthy, B., Singh Sphaghero, H., Steingass, P.H., Robinson, P.H., & Kailas, M.M. (2002). Laboratory Variation of 24h *in vitro* gas production & estimated metabolizable energy values of ruminant feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 102:169-180.
- Getachew, G., Makkar, H.P.S., & Becker, K. (1999). Stoichiometric relationship between short chain fatty acids & *in vitro* gas production in presence

- and polythyleneglycol for tannin containing browses. EAAP satellite symposium.
- Goering, K.H., & van Soest, P.J. (1970). Forage fibre Analysis, Agricultural Hand book. 379. USDA, Washinton D.C. pp: 8-12.
- Khazaal, K., Dentinho, M.T., Riberiro, J.M., & Ørskov, E.R. (1995). Prediction of apparent digestibility & voluntary feed intake of hays fed to sheep: Comparison between using fibre component. *In vitro* digestibility or characteristics of gas production or nylon bag degradation. *Animal Science*, 61:521-538.
- Kotarski, S.F., Waniska, R.D., & Thurn, K.K. (1992). Starch hydrolysis by the ruminant micro flora. *Journal of Nutrition*, 122:178-190.
- Maheri-Sis, N., Chamani, M., & Sadeghi, A.A. (2007). Nutritional evaluation of chickpea wastes for ruminants using *in vitro* gas production technique. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advance*, 6 (12): 1453-1457.
- Makkar, H.P.S. (2005). *In vitro* gas methods for evaluation of feeds containing phytochemicals. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, pp: 123–124.
- Makkar, H.P.S. (2005). *In vitro* gas methods for evaluation of feeds containing phytochemicals. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, pp: 291–302.
- Makkar, H.P.S., & Becker, K. (1996). Nutritional value & antinutritional components of whole & ethanol extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 63: 211–228.
- Menke, K.H., & Steingass, H. (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis & *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research and Development*, 28:7-55.
- Menke, K.H., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., & Schneider, W. (1979). The estimation of the digestibility & metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 92: 217-222.
- Miller, T.L. (1995). Ecology of methane production & hydrogen sinks in the rumen. In: E. Von Engelhardt, S. Leonhard-Marek and G. Breves D. Giessecke, (Eds.), pp.317-331. Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism, Growth & Reproduction, Stuttgart: Ferdinand Enke Verlag.
- Mupangwa, J.F., Ngongoni, N.T., Topps, J.H., & Ndlovu, N. (1997). Chemical composition & dry matter of forage legumes *Cassia rotunifolia* cv. Wynn, *Lablab purpureus* cv. Highworth & *Maccropitium atropurpureum* cv. Siratro at 8 weeks of growth (pre-anthesis). *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 69:167-178.
- NRC (2001). National Research Council. Nutrient requirement of dairy cattle. 7th Rev. Edn. Natl. Acad. Sci., Washington, DC.
- Ørskov, E.R., & McDonald, L.M. (1979). Estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurement weighted according to rate of passage. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 92: 499-503.
- Promkot, C., & Wanapat, W.M. (2004). Ruminant degradation & intestinal digestion of rude protein of tropical resources using nylon bag & three step *in vitro* procedure in dairy cattle. In: Proceedings of the Agricultural Seminar, Animal Science/Animal Husbandry. Held at Sofitel Raja Orchid Hotel 27-28 January, Khon Kaen, Thailand. 2004.
- Sallam, S.M.A., Bueno, I.C.S., Godoy, P.B., Nozella, E.F., Vitti, D.M.S.S., & Abdalla, A. (2008). Nutritive value in the value Assessment of the artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*) by products as an alternative feed resource for ruminant. *Tropical and subtropical Agroecosystem* 8:181-189.
- SPSS (2007). Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Version 16.0. SPSS Inc. United State of America. www.spss.com.
- Steel, R.G., & Torrie, J.H. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach 2nd ed., Mc Graw – Hill Book Company, New York.
- Tesfay, G. (2014). Dairy cattle production system in Central Dry Zone of Tigray: in the case of Aksum & adwa. *Global journal of animal scientific research*. 2(2): 151-158.
- Thu, N.V., & Preston, T.R. (1997). Rumen Environment & feed degradability in Swamp Buffaloes fed different supplements. *LRRD.*, 11:1-7.
- Van Soest, P.J. (1994). Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. Corvallis, 2nd edition. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, USA, 476 p.
- Von Keyserlink, M.A.G., Swift, M.L., Puchala, R., & Shelford, A. (1996). Degradability characteristics of dry matter & crude protein of forages in ruminants. *Animal Science Technology*. 57:291-311..